

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,267	03/26/2004	Andrew Kapochunas	384.7817USU	8528	
7590 01/27/2009 Paul D. Greeley, Esq.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.			PARDO,	PARDO, THUY N	
10th Floor One Landmark Square		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Stamford, CT 06901-2682			2168		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/27/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/810 267 KAPOCHUNAS ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Thuy N. Pardo 2168 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-8.11.12.14.18-20 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-8,11,12,14,18-20 and 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's Amendment filed January 09, 2009 in response to Examiner's Final Office Action has been reviewed. Claims 1, 12 and 20 are amended and claims 2, 9, 10, 13, 15-17, 21 and 25-27 are canceled.
- The indicated allowability of claims 25-27 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to James Shaffer, US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0136381. Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3-8, 11, 12, 14, 18-20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al. (Hereinafter "Clark") US Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0153663, in view of Monteverde US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0088553, in further view of James Shaffer et al. (hereinafter "Shaffer"), US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0136381.

Art Unit: 2168

As to claim 1, Clark teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising: receiving at least one input address [obtain street address information, 10 of fig. 1; 0040; comparing said at least one input address to at least one standard [compare to old street address or a reference address, 12 of fig. 1; 22-80 of fig. 2; 0041].

However, Clark does not explicitly teach providing a single best address derived from said at least one input address based on said comparison. Monteverde teaches providing a single best address derived from said at least one input address based on said comparison [determine the best site(s) based on the "search term" matches and then display it to the user, see the abstract; 6 of fig. 2; 13 of fig. 3; 21 of fig. 5; 0036], and matching said single best address to a database having unique business identifiers associated with addresses to find a matching address and providing a matching address for correction of said input address [update the database and provide the searcher's with the most relevant Internet site(s) for any given search term based upon prior results, 0016; 0036].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to add the feature of Monteverde for comparing each Internet site (i.e., address) with a "search term" (i.e., standard or criteria) to provide the best Internet site address to Clark's system of providing a new address (updated address) based on all information of both addresses- current address and "change to" address. The motivation being to expand and enhance the versatility of Clark's system to provide the best accurate address based on the comparison. Clark further teaches matching said single best address to a database having unique business identifiers associated with addresses to find a matching address and providing said matching address [0032-0034; 0043].

Art Unit: 2168

However, neither Clark nor Monteverde teaches matching said a unique business identifier and said single best address to a database having unique business identifiers associated with addresses, to find a matching address for correction of said input address. Shaffer teaches matching said a unique business identifier and said single best address to a database having unique business identifiers associated with addresses [If the data provider record matched the DSF file, then a DSF match flag is set to "yes" and the address delivery type code field is set to the value retrieved from the DSF file, 0435; 0467; one record for each USPS deliverable address, 0433; fig. 11C], to find a matching address for correction of said input address [one record for each USPS deliverable address, 0433; fig. 11C; indexing the valid first location telephone number into at least one Master Telephone Number to Spatial Key database to retrieve information associated with the first location's telephone number and a means to provide the received and retrieved information associated with the first location's telephone number to provide one or more improvements to the service of at least one call recipient, 0145; 0480; Master Table Update process to create a historical Master Table with multiple records for each telephone number, 0483].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to add the feature of Shaffer to Clark-Monteverde's system as an essential means to provide a business information service that cleanses data to provide accurate customer addresses.

As to claim 3, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed.

Clark further teaches that said database is an advanced office system (AOS) 100411.

Art Unit: 2168

As to claim 4, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed.

Clark further teaches providing a match project analysis report [0290; 0296; 0301].

As to claim 5, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed.

Clark further teaches converting said at least one input address to a predetermined record layout,

before comparing said input address to said at least one standard [30-80 of fig. 2].

As to claim 6, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed. Clark further teaches associating said at least one input address with at least one code, said code being used to determine said single best address [0051-0081; 0094-0103].

As to claim 7, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed. Clark further teaches associating said at least one input address with at least one score, said score being used to determine said single best address [0222-0223; fig. 19-15].

As to claim 8, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed. Clark further teaches that said at least one standard is at least one selected from the group consisting of: ZIP+4 coding, coding accuracy support system (CASS), Locatable Address Conversion System (LACS), delivery sequence file (DSF), and National Change of Address (NCOA) [0041-0042].

Art Unit: 2168

As to claim 14, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed. Clark further teaches an investigator for investigating any address not matched, upon request [0031; 0036].

As to claim 18, Clark, Monteverde and Shaffer teach the invention substantially as claimed. Clark further teaches that said view is at least one selected from the group consisting of: alphabetical, most frequent content, and alpha characters only [0032-0034; 0036].

As to claims 11, 12 and 19, 20 and 22-24, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy N. Pardo whose telephone number is 571-272-4082. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached on 571-272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2168

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thuy N. Pardo/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168